From: <u>Mike Hoban</u>
To: <u>Jamey Ayling</u>

Subject: CU 23 00003 Guest Ranch comments: SEPA Tuesday, October 17, 2023 10:33:56 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the Kittitas County network. Do not click links, open attachments, fulfill requests, or follow guidance unless you recognize the sender and have verified the content is safe.

Good morning

I note in the files and comments about the Fowler Creek Guest Ranch; specifically about a SEPA; that:

The County issued a Preliminary MDNS on September 20, noting its intent to following the "Optional DNS process" - providing only one round of comments.

I'd like to comment that an Optional DNS providing only one round of comments is way inadequate. Nature of this project, suspected impact on wildlife, fish, water, our Rural 5 lots, surrounding neighborhoods - should easily require a detailed SEPA study.

Then at least one additional round of comments should be allowed; once the detailed SEPA finds are known and released to the public.

A SEPA study really need to give more specifics and details relating to lack of details and/or insufficient details on:

- no apparent permit from the department of ecology to use groundwater
- lack of specificity about the groundwater sources and locations
- lack of specificity in water runoff impact; such as collection ponds..
- no detailed traffic plan; Entry/Exist to site
- no examination of wetlands impact
- no consideration of threatened species much less impact to common species
- no data on impact of noise especially since the site is probably 70% surrounded by higher hills/ridges that can enhance echo sounds.

In looking back at this area, about 20 - 25 years ago most surrounding areas were Forest & Range. Then the county allowed development of what is now known as Granite Creek area; just south of Fowler Creek Road up FSR 4517. Developers were allowed to re-zone the lands and build several homes along the east and south side of this Guest Ranch. Thereby creating very desirable, secluded home sites - becoming quite Neighborhoods.

Now a person wants to endanger that environment and community neighborhoods, that have most owners/users highly involved in the area due to being so strongly "invested" in the area. Why can a developer strife to create projects or sites within the same area; that are opposing to each other? Why are individual homes no longer desirable? While more home sites are not desired; those owners and their invested interest in our communities would seem more practical than a Guest Ranch with its continual influx of strangers, party goers, visitor.

Mike Hoban 2351 Pasco Road

Thank you, Mike Hoban 2351 Pasco Road